



**PEER-REVIEW OF A BOOK REVIEW SUBMITTED
FOR CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLICATION IN AVANT. THE JOURNAL
OF THE PHILOSOPHICAL-INTERDISCIPLINARY VANGUARD**

(the form below is intended to help peer reviewers critique a manuscript)

GENERAL INFORMATION:

1. The title of the manuscript or/and the title of the reviewed book:

2. The peer-reviewer's competence. Is the peer-reviewer familiar with the areas of research referred to in the manuscript [tick appropriate]: YES, CLOSELY YES, GENERALLY NO

THEMES AND FIELDS:

3. The significance of the theme of the reviewed book for AVANT journal [tick appropriate]:

poor average large

4. Originality and innovation of the content discussed in the book. Is its level [tick appropriate]:

zero (referenced concepts or research results do not bring anything new / have only historical significance)

low (referenced concepts / research results at the moment have some importance in the field)

medium (referenced concepts / research results are moderately original in the field)

high (referenced concepts / research results are important for the entire field / cross-disciplinary)

very high (referenced concepts / research results entail redefining the field /area of study)

5. Interdisciplinarity (What areas does the book represent?):

THE REALIZATION:

6. The general realization [tick appropriate]:

6.1. **Is the structure of the book review clear and coherent?** YES NO

6.2. **Is the structure of the book review appropriate for its aims?** YES NO

6.3. **Is the book review written in compliance with editorial instructions for book reviews?**

YES NO



7. The originality of realization of the book review [tick appropriate]:

zero

low

average

high [concerns AN ESSAY REVIEWS and A DISCUSSIONS, doesn't concern STANDARD REVIEWS]

8. Assessment of terminology and its compliance with applicable standards [select appropriate]: invalid correct minor reservations (please specify):

9. Evaluation of the technical-formal skill level [select appropriate]:

poor average good

10. Evaluation of the linguistic skill level [select appropriate]:

poor average good

11. Any suggestions for the author (e.g. tips for improving the book review):

OPINION:

12. The manuscript should be [select appropriate]:

accepted without changes

accepted after minor amendments

accepted after larger corrections

rejected with a possibility of resubmission for publication

rejected